

# Errata to *Unitarity of The Modular Tensor Categories Associated to Unitary Vertex Operator Algebras, I*

Bin Gui

October 19, 2024

1. In the published version, the annihilation operator  $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{i}i}$  is misprinted as  $\mathcal{Y}_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}$ . (See for instance Eq. (1.41) (1.42).) The notations in the preprints are correct.
2. The proof of Lem. 3.8-(a) is too frivolous. A more serious argument is as follows. One should first understand in which sense (3.20) holds, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_V} \hat{f}(s) \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, s)w^{(j)}$$

At the beginning, we only know that it holds when evaluated with any vector of  $W_k$ . Therefore, it holds in the algebraic completion  $\overline{W_k}$  where the RHS converges in the  $\sigma(\overline{W_k}, W_k)$ -topology to the LHS.

Equivalently, if for each  $\lambda \geq 0$  we let  $P_\lambda$  be the projection of  $\overline{W_k}$  onto its  $L_0$ -weight  $\lambda$  subspace, then

$$P_\lambda \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_V} \hat{f}(s) P_\lambda \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, s)w^{(j)}$$

where the RHS is a finite sum. One can also replace  $P_\lambda$  with  $P_{\leq \lambda}$  where  $P_{\leq \lambda} = \sum_{0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda} P_\mu$ , noting that all but finitely many  $\mu$  in  $[0, \lambda]$  satisfy that  $P_\mu = 0$ . Namely

$$P_{\leq \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_V} \hat{f}(s) P_{\leq \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, s)w^{(j)} \quad (1)$$

Now one proves Lem. 3.8-(a) as follows. Similar to (3.21), one shows that

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_V} \|\hat{f}(s) P_{\leq \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, s)w^{(j)}\|_p \leq M_p |f|_{V, |p|+t} \|w^{(j)}\|_{p+r} \quad (2)$$

for all  $\lambda$ , noting that the LHS is a finite sum. This, together with (1), shows that

$$\|P_{\leq \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)}\|_p \leq M_p |f|_{V, |p|+t} \|w^{(j)}\|_{p+r}$$

Since  $\lambda$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $\mathcal{Y}_\alpha(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)}$ , a priori only a vector of  $\overline{W_k}$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_k^p$  (for all  $p$ ).